1						
2					0	
3					O	
4						
5						
6						
7						
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT					
9	CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA					
10						
11	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,) Case No. SACR09-216		
12	Plaintiff,			ORDER OF DETENTION		
13		vs.)		
14	DAVID SASSER,					
15		D	efendant.	}		
16 17				I		
18	A.	() On:	motion of the	Government in a case allegedly i	involving:	
19		1. ()	a crime of v	violence.	_	
20		2. ()	an offense v	vith maximum sentence of life im	prisonment or	
21	death.					
22		3. ()	a narcotics	or controlled substance offense w	vith maximum	
23	sentence of ten or more years.					
24		4. ()	any felony	- where defendant convicted of	f two or more	
25	prior offenses described above.					
26		5. ()	any felony	that is not otherwise a crime of	violence that	
2728	involves a minor victim, or possession or use of a firearm or destructive					
28						
				1		

1	device or any other dangerous weapon, or a failure to register under 18					
2	U.S.C. § 2250.					
3	B. (X) On motion by the Government/() on Court's own motion, in a					
4	case allegedly involving:					
5	() On the further allegation by the Government of:					
6	1. (X) a serious risk that the defendant will flee.					
7	2. (X) a serious risk that the defendant will:					
8	a. () obstruct or attempt to obstruct justice.					
9	b. () threaten, injure or intimidate a prospective witness or					
10	juror, or attempt to do so.					
11	C. The Government () is $/(X)$ is not entitled to a rebuttable presumption that					
12	no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the					
13	defendant's appearance as required and the safety or any person or the					
14	community.					
15						
16	II					
17	A. () The Court finds that no condition or combination of conditions					
18	will reasonably assure:					
19	1. (X) the appearance of the defendant as required.					
20	() and/or					
21	2. (X) the safety of any person or the community.					
22	B. () The Court finds that the defendant has not rebutted by sufficient					
23	evidence to the contrary the presumption provided by statute.					
24						
25	III					
26	The Court has considered:					
27	A. the nature and circumstances of the offense(s) charged, including					
28	whether the offense is a crime of violence, a Federal crime of terrorism, or					
	\mathbf{r}					

1	involves a minor victim or a controlled substance, firearm, explosive, or					
2	destructive device;					
3	B. the weight of evidence against the defendant;					
4	C. the history and characteristics of the defendant; and					
5	D. the nature and seriousness of the danger to any person or the community.					
6						
7	IV					
8	The Court also has considered all the evidence adduced at the hearing and the					
9	arguments and/or statements of counsel, and the Pretrial Services					
10	Report/recommendation.					
11						
12	V					
13	The Court bases the foregoing finding(s) on the following:					
14	A. (X) As to flight risk:					
15	DEFENDANT HAS JUST COMPLETED A TERM OF IMPRISONMENT.					
16	HE HAS SEVERAL FAILURES TO APPEAR AND HAS AN OUTSTANDING					
17	WARRANT FROM LOS ANGELES COUNTY.					
18						
19	B. (X) As to danger:					
20	DEFENDANT HAS A SIGNIFICANT CRIMINAL HISTORY INVOLVING					
21	ASSAULTS, FIREARMS, AND DRUG USE GOING BACK TO 1994.					
22	VI					
23	A. () The Court finds that a serious risk exists the defendant will:					
24	1. () obstruct or attempt to obstruct justice.					
25	2. () attempt to/() threaten, injure or intimidate a witness or					
26	juror.					
27	B. The Court bases the foregoing finding(s) on the following:					
28						

27

28